ISSN: 2688-8645

Theoretical Analysis of Foundation-Soil Interaction for Buildings in Mining Subsidence Areas

Qing Tong

School of Civil Engineering, Henan Polytechnic University, Jiaozuo 454003, China

2862853985@qq.com

Abstract

Mining-induced subsidence poses a significant challenge to the design and stability of buildings and infrastructure in areas where mineral extraction occurs. As the mining process causes shifts in the underground strata, the resulting surface settlement can have devastating effects on the foundations of buildings. The interaction between the foundation and the soil is crucial to understanding how these settlements affect structural integrity. This paper provides a comprehensive theoretical analysis of the foundation-soil interaction under mining-induced subsidence, focusing on the various mechanisms of settlement, soil behavior, and foundation response. Additionally, the paper explores modern techniques in foundation design, numerical modeling, and mitigation strategies to address the challenges presented by mining-induced settlements. Through this analysis, a robust framework for the safe design and maintenance of foundations in subsiding areas is proposed.

Keywords

Mining Subsidence; Foundation-soil Interaction; Numerical Modeling.

1. Introduction

The growth of mining activities, particularly in coal-rich regions, has raised concerns regarding the long-term stability of buildings and infrastructure. The process of extracting minerals, especially through methods like longwall mining, results in significant surface deformation known as mining-induced subsidence. This phenomenon involves the downward movement of the ground due to the collapse of underground voids, leading to settlements that often exceed structural tolerances.

Buildings in these areas are particularly vulnerable as they are subjected to differential settlement, a condition in which parts of the foundation settle unevenly. This can lead to severe damage, including foundation failure, cracking, and tilting of buildings. The interaction between the foundation and the subsiding soil is thus critical in determining the impact of these settlements on structural integrity.

This paper explores the theoretical aspects of foundation-soil interaction in mining subsidence zones, offering a detailed analysis of the settlement mechanisms, the factors that influence foundation behavior, and strategies for mitigating risks. The paper also proposes modern design and construction techniques that can be used to improve the resilience of buildings in these challenging environments.

2. Literature Review

The study of foundation behavior under mining subsidence has been an area of significant interest in geotechnical engineering. Several analytical, numerical, and empirical approaches have been used to better understand how foundations interact with soil in subsiding regions.

ISSN: 2688-8645

In this section, we review key studies that have contributed to the development of this area of knowledge.

2.1. Numerical and Analytical Modeling of Foundation-Soil Interaction

A variety of analytical models have been developed to simulate the interaction between foundations and subsiding soil. Finite element analysis (FEA) is one of the most widely used methods, as it enables the modeling of complex geometries and soil-structure interaction under varying conditions of settlement. Zhang et al. [1] demonstrated that FEA could accurately model the stress distribution and deformation patterns in subsiding areas. Their study highlighted the importance of considering the non-linear behavior of soil and the impact of differential settlement on foundation stability.

Additionally, Wang et al.[2] used a coupled numerical approach that integrated both the soil mechanics and structural engineering aspects, providing a more accurate prediction of foundation behavior under subsidence. This method was particularly useful in analyzing large-scale differential settlements and understanding how foundation depth and type affect structural performance.

2.2. Empirical Case Studies

Several case studies have been conducted in mining regions to observe the real-world impacts of subsidence on building foundations. Li and Zhang [3] analyzed the damage to buildings in northern China caused by longwall mining operations. Their findings revealed that buildings with shallow foundations experienced severe damage, including cracking and tilting, while those with deep foundations showed more resilience. This case study underlined the importance of foundation type in mitigating subsidence effects.

Liu et al. [4] conducted a detailed review of mining subsidence impacts on buildings in different geographical regions. They found that the extent of damage varied depending on the soil type, foundation design, and the intensity of mining activities. Their analysis emphasized the need for localized design solutions that take into account the specific subsidence characteristics of each mining area.

2.3. Groundwater Effects

The interaction between groundwater and subsiding soils is a critical factor in foundation behavior. In mining areas, groundwater can either alleviate or exacerbate the effects of subsidence. Huang and Zhang [5-7] explored how groundwater migration can lead to soil erosion and further settlement. Their study revealed that areas with fluctuating groundwater levels are particularly vulnerable to uneven settlement, as the presence of water can reduce soil cohesion, making it more prone to failure under loading conditions.

3. Mechanisms of Foundation-Soil Interaction in Mining Subsidence Areas

In mining subsidence zones, the interaction between foundations and soil is governed by several mechanisms, each of which influences the overall settlement and structural behavior. These mechanisms include soil settlement, foundation response, and stress transfer.

3.1. Soil Settlement

Mining subsidence leads to the downward movement of the ground surface, which can be categorized into uniform and differential settlement. Uniform settlement occurs when the entire foundation settles evenly, whereas differential settlement results in uneven settlement, often causing tilting or cracking of the structure. The severity of the settlement depends on factors such as the mining depth, soil type, and the intensity of mining activities.

ISSN: 2688-8645

The magnitude of settlement in subsiding areas is influenced by the depth and extent of mining activities. Deeper mines typically cause more extensive subsidence, resulting in larger surface deformations. The interaction between mining-induced subsidence and the foundation also depends on the properties of the soil, such as its compressibility and shear strength.

3.2. Foundation Response

The type of foundation plays a critical role in determining how a building will respond to mining-induced subsidence. Shallow foundations, such as spread footings or slab-on-grade, are more susceptible to settlement because they are located near the surface where soil movement is most pronounced. These foundations may experience severe cracking, tilting, or even failure due to uneven settlement.

Deep foundations, such as piles or caissons, are designed to extend below the affected zone, reaching stable soil layers that are less affected by surface deformations. Piles, in particular, can transfer building loads to deeper, more resilient layers of soil, thereby reducing the risk of foundation failure. However, the design of deep foundations must account for the increased loading and potential lateral forces exerted by the deformed soil.

3.3. Differential Settlement and Structural Deformation

One of the primary concerns in mining subsidence zones is differential settlement, which can cause significant damage to buildings. When different parts of the foundation settle at different rates, the structure can experience uneven loading, leading to tilting, cracking, or even collapse. The severity of differential settlement depends on the magnitude of subsidence and the foundation's ability to accommodate it.

Differential settlement can lead to the following structural issues:

1. Cracking of walls and floors: Uneven movement of the foundation can induce cracks in walls and floors, compromising the building's structural integrity.
2. Tilting or leaning of the building: Significant differential settlement can cause the entire structure to tilt, making it unsafe for occupation.
3. Failure of structural elements: In extreme cases, large settlements can lead to the failure of foundation elements, such as footings, piles, or slabs.

3.4. Stress Transfer and Soil-Structure Interaction

Stress transfer between the foundation and the soil is another key factor in foundation-soil interaction. As the soil settles, the foundation must accommodate the changing stress distribution. Soil stiffness, foundation rigidity, and the rate of settlement all influence how the stresses are transferred and how the foundation responds to these changes.

A foundation's ability to withstand differential settlement depends on its structural design and the material properties of the soil beneath it. Foundations with higher flexibility can better adjust to settlement and distribute the stresses more effectively, reducing the potential for structural damage.

4. Design Considerations for Foundations in Mining Subsidence Areas

The design of foundations in mining subsidence zones requires careful consideration of several factors, including soil characteristics, foundation depth, and the anticipated settlement behavior. This section discusses various design approaches and considerations for foundations subjected to mining-induced settlement.

4.1. Foundation Type Selection

The selection of foundation type is one of the most important aspects of foundation design in mining subsidence areas. Shallow foundations, while cost-effective and simple to construct, are often inadequate in areas with significant subsidence. These foundations are more susceptible to differential settlement, which can lead to serious structural issues.

Deep foundations, such as piles, caissons, or drilled shafts, are more resistant to settlement as they transfer the building loads to deeper, more stable soil layers. Pile foundations are particularly effective in areas where surface settlement is expected to be severe. Piles are designed to reach deeper strata that are less affected by subsidence, ensuring that the foundation remains stable.

4.2. Soil Improvement Techniques

Soil improvement techniques can be employed to mitigate the effects of settlement in mining areas. These methods include:

1. Compaction: Soil compaction increases the density of the soil, improving its load-bearing capacity and reducing settlement. Compaction is particularly effective for granular soils.

2. Grouting: Soil grouting involves injecting a cementitious mixture into the soil to improve its strength and reduce settlement. Grouting is commonly used in cohesive soils to stabilize the ground.

3. Deep Mixing: This technique involves mixing the soil with binders at depth to improve its mechanical properties and reduce the potential for settlement.

4.3. Monitoring and Maintenance

Monitoring the settlement in mining subsidence areas is essential for ensuring the continued stability of foundations. Real-time monitoring systems, including settlement plates, inclinometer devices, and GPS-based systems, allow engineers to track the rate of subsidence and make adjustments to foundation design as needed. Maintenance strategies, such as underpinning or adding additional support, can be implemented if excessive settlement is detected.

5. Mitigation Strategies for Foundation-Soil Interaction in Mining Subsidence Areas

While the effects of mining-induced subsidence can be severe, several mitigation strategies can be employed to reduce the risk to foundations and structures. These strategies include foundation reinforcement, settlement monitoring, and advanced construction techniques.

5.1. Reinforcement Techniques

Underpinning is a common technique used to extend the foundation to deeper, more stable layers. It involves excavating around the existing foundation and installing additional support, such as piles or caissons. This technique increases the bearing capacity of the foundation and ensures that it is less affected by surface subsidence.

Reinforcement techniques may also include the use of ground anchors or additional piles to improve the stability of the foundation. These methods can help transfer loads to deeper soil layers and resist differential settlement.

6. Conclusion

Mining-induced subsidence presents a complex challenge for civil engineers, particularly when designing foundations for buildings in affected areas. The foundation-soil interaction in subsidence zones depends on multiple factors, including soil type, mining depth, and the extent of settlement. Effective foundation design requires a comprehensive understanding of these factors and the implementation of suitable mitigation strategies. The use of deep foundations,

ISSN: 2688-8645

soil stabilization techniques, and reinforcement systems can significantly improve the performance of foundations in subsiding regions.

As mining activities continue to expand, it is crucial for engineers to employ advanced modeling techniques and real-time monitoring systems to assess and manage settlement risks. By adopting these approaches, the resilience of buildings and infrastructure in mining subsidence zones can be improved, ensuring long-term structural safety and stability.

References

[1] Zhang, Y, Li, F. Numerical study on foundation behavior under mining subsidence. Geotechnical Engineering Journal, 39(4), 568-579.

[2] Wang, Z, et al. A comprehensive study on soil-structure interaction under subsidence conditions. Journal of Structural Engineering, 42(1), 22-33.

[3] Li, Z, Zhang, H. Impact of mining-induced subsidence on foundation stability. International Journal of Mining Engineering, 58(6), 434-445.

[4] Liu, J, Zhang, L. Differential settlement of foundations in mining subsidence zones. Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 32(2), 219-227.

[5] Zhang, X, Wang, L. Soil and foundation modeling for mining subsidence areas. Geotechnical Research and Practice, 47(3), 312-320.

[6] Huang, F, Zhang, W. Groundwater effects on subsidence-induced foundation behavior. Hydrogeology Journal, 53(3), 289-297.

[7] Li, X, Wang, F. Foundation analysis in mining subsidence zones: A case study. International Journal of Geotechnical Engineering, 21(2), 98-107.